

F I L E D  
Clerk  
District Court

MAY 11 2007

For The Northern Mariana Islands  
By \_\_\_\_\_  
(Deputy Clerk)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

QIANG XIAOLI, ) Civil Action No. 07-0017  
Plaintiff )  
v. ) CASE MANAGEMENT  
GRACE INTERNATIONAL, INC., ) SCHEDULING ORDER  
Defendant )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and Local Rule 16.2CJ.e.4, a  
Case Management Conference was conducted in this matter on May 11, 2007.  
Present were Joseph Horey on behalf of plaintiff and F. Matthew Smith on behalf  
of defendant.

As a result of the conference,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. All parties are to be joined on or before August 1, 2007.
2. All motions to amend pleadings shall be filed on or before August 1, 2007.

- 1 3. All discovery shall be served by August 1, 2007. No discovery
- 2 material shall be filed with the court except as necessary to
- 3 support a motion.
- 4 4. All discovery motions shall be filed so as to be heard on
- 5 or before September 20, 2007. Motions shall be filed in
- 6 accordance with Local Rule 7.1.
- 7 5. A status conference will be held on June 13, 2007, at 10:00 a.m.
- 8 6. All dispositive motions shall be filed so as to be heard on or before
- 9 October 25, 2007. Said motions shall be filed in accordance
- 10 with Local Rules 7.1 and/or 56.1.
- 11 7. A settlement conference will be held on October 19, 2007, at 10:30
- 12 a.m.
- 13 8. The jointly-prepared final pretrial order shall be filed with the court by
- 14 3:30 p.m., November 5, 2008. (Local Rule 16.2CJ.e.9.)
- 15 9. A final pretrial conference will be held on November 9, 2007, at 9:30
- 16 a.m.
- 17 10. Trial shall begin on November 26, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.

18 This case has been assigned to the Standard Track.

19 The parties are reminded that Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(6) provides in part that a  
20 case management scheduling order "shall not be modified except upon a showing of  
21 good cause and by leave of the district judge[.]" To establish good cause, a party  
22 must generally show that even with the exercise of due diligence it cannot meet the  
23 order's timetable. *See e.g. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609  
24 (9th Cir. 1992). The liberal amendment policy of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15 no longer obtains  
25  
26

1 once the case management scheduling order has been entered. *See* Coleman v.  
2 Quaker Oats Co., 232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000).

3  
4 DATED this 11th day of May, 2007.  
5  
6  
7

8   
9 ALEX R. MUNSON  
10 Judge

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26